

#### Department of Energy

Oak Ridge Operations
Weldon Spring Site
Remedial Action Project Office
7295 Highway 94 South
St. Charles, Missouri 63304

January 6, 1992

Distribution

#### SMALL MAMMAL SAMPLING PLAN

The subject sampling plan is enclosed for your review. The sampling plan is prepared in response to U. S. Environmental Protection Agency requirements for establishing baseline risk assessments for biota residing on or near the Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project (WSSRAP), as part of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study process.

This plan includes sampling small mammals such as rats, mice and shrew located on WSSRAP and surrounding areas to determine general population numbers, species presence, and any abnormalities which may be occurring within individuals of these species or communities of small mammals.

If you have any comments, please contact Alan D. Gibson.

Sincerely,

Stephen H. McCracken

Project Manager Weldon Spring Site

Remedial Action Project

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/o enclosure: Jim Powers, PMC

#### DISTRIBUTION FOR LETTER DATED JANUARY 6, 1992

Mr. Dan Wall (6 copies)
Remedial Project Manager
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region VII
726 Minnesota Avenue
Kansas City, Kansas 66101

Dr. David E. Bedan (6 copies)
Division of Environmental Quality
Missouri Department of Natural
Resources
Post Office Box 176
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dr. Margaret MacDonell (4 copies)
Environmental Assessment and Information
Sciences Division
Argonne National Laboratory
9700 South Cass Avenue
Building 900
Argonne, Illinois 60439

Peter J. Gross, SE-31 Director, Environmental Protection Division DOE Field Office, Oak Ridge U. S. Department of Energy Post Office Box 2001 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-8738

Steve Iverson
Project Manager, Superfund Branch
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
Kansas City District
601 East 12th Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64106


Mary Gilbert Administrative Record MK-Ferguson Company 7295 Highway 94 South St. Charles, Missouri 63304 DOE/OR/21548-248 CONTRACT NO. DE-AC05-860R21548

# SMALL MAMMAL SAMPLING PLAN

Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project Weldon Spring, Missouri

DECEMBER 1991

REV. 0



U.S. Department of Energy
Oak Ridge Operations Office
Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project

Small Mammal Sampling Plan

Revision 0

December 1991

Prepared by

MK-FERGUSON COMPANY and JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP 7295 Highway 94 South St. Charles, Missouri 63304

for the

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Oak Ridge Operations Office
Under Contract DE-AC05-86OR21548


Printed in the United States of America. Available from the National Technical Information Service, NTIS, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161

NTIS Price Codes -

Printed copy: A03

Microfiche:

A01



**APPROVALS** 

Department Manager	17-70-9 Date
Quality Assurance Manager	12/23/91 Date
Deputy Project Director	17 21 -a
Project Director	<u>17-24-91</u> Date

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SE	<u>CCTION</u>	PAC	<u>GE</u>
1	INTRODUCTION		1
	1.1 Purpose          1.2 Scope of Work          1.3 Objectives		5
2	SAMPLE LOCATION AND IDENTIFICATION		
	3.3 Quality Assurance Samples		15
	3.3.1 Duplicate Samples		15 15
4	ANALYTICAL REQUIREMENTS		16
	<ul><li>4.1 ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS</li></ul>		
_	DATA REPORTING		19
A]	PPENDIXES		
В	Small Mammal Survey Field Sheet Soil-Sediment Sampling Form Sample Identification Number Format		

# LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NUMI</u>	<u>BER</u>	PAC	<u>}E</u>
1-1	Location of the Weldon Spring Site		2
2-1	Sampling Locations in the Vicinity of the Weldon Spring Quarry		
2-2	Close-Up of Sampling Locations Surrounding the Weldon Spring Quarry		
2-3	Sampling Locations at the Weldon Spring Chemical Plant		10
2-4	Sample Locations Near the Weldon Spring Site		

# LIST OF TABLES


<u>NUMB</u>	<u>FAGI</u>	<u>ت</u>
	List of Threatened or Endangered Birds of Prey Potentially Occuring in the Study	
	Area	4

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

The Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project (WSSRAP) is being conducted as a Major Systems Acquisition under the Surplus Facilities Management Program (SFMP) of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The major goals of the SFMP are to eliminate potential hazards to the public and the environment that are associated with contamination at SFMP sites and to make surplus real property available for other uses to the extent possible. The WSSRAP consists of two main areas. The Weldon Spring Chemical Plant (WSCP) and the Weldon Spring Quarry (WSQ) (Figure 1-1).

The following sample plan is prepared in response to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) requirements for establishing baseline risk assessments for biota residing on or near the Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project (WSSRAP), as part of the Comprehensive and Liability Act (CERCLA) Remedial Environmental Response, Compensation This study comprises a portion of the Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) process. comprehensive, year-round program to monitor the impact of the contaminants from the Weldon Spring site (WSS) on the surrounding region's groundwater, surface waters, and soil properties through multiple pathways, including monitoring for potential exposure to non-receptor human populations. The chemical plant area has largely been characterized as part of the WSCP RI/FS investigation. The WSCP is one of four separate operable units (SOUs) that have been created in order to facilitate the remedial decision making. The other SOUs are quarry bulk wastes, site groundwater, and quarry residuals area. A portion of this sampling plan is directed at the quarry residual areas and is part of the ecological characterization requirements for the residual RI/FS. The quarry residual area includes the quarry proper and surrounding vicinity properties including groundwater. The regulatory requirements are detailed in the Weldon Spring Quarry Residual Work Plan (MKF and JEG 1991a). The other areas included in this plan are being studied as part of the on-going environmental monitoring program.

This plan includes sampling small mammal populations located on the WSS and surrounding areas, to determine general population numbers, species presence, and any abnormalities which may be occurring within individuals of these species or communities of small mammals. Small mammals such as mice, rats, and shrew serve as prey species to indigenous and transient species, some of which may be federally or state endangered. Additionally, these small mammals utilize the same resources as larger species, such as deer, which are hunted within this region and are part of the human food chain.



As indicated by Douglas (1989), rodents are recommended for use in ecological monitoring at the population level because they are small, easy to handle, and spend their entire life cycle within a relatively small area. Additionally, the majority of avian and terrestrial carnivores, to a greater or lesser degree, utilize a rodent prey base (Douglas 1989).

Small mammals are prey for birds, snakes, and other mammals. The American kestrel, red-tailed hawk, barred owl, eastern screech owl, and the great horned owl have been sighted in the study area (MKF and JEG 1991b). Small mammals make up no less than 70% of these species' diet (Toland 1985, Wilson 1984). Other birds that prey on small mammals that potentially occur in the study area are listed on the State and/or Federal list of threatened and/or endangered species (Table 1-1).

Snakes that are known to occur within the study areas and prey on small mammals include: the black rat snake, bull snake, kingsnake, red milk snake, eastern yellowbelly racer, copperhead, and the timber rattlesnake (Johnson 1980). Larger mammals include the coyote, red fox, gray fox, skunk, and bobcat. Of the mammals that may be encountered at any of the sites, only the long-tailed weasel is listed on the State list as "rare."

Biouptake studies are conducted to determine possible exposure pathways of radiological and chemical contamination to humans by ingestion of potentially contaminated fish and game. The Project Management Contractor (PMC) began biouptake studies at the WSS in 1987. These studies have included mammals (rabbits, squirrels, and deer), reptiles (snapping turtle), and fish (large mouth bass, crappie, catfish, and sunfish). These studies are typically planned sampling events, but opportunistic sampling is also incorporated into the program. No significant biological uptake of radiological contaminants has been detected in either the fish or small mammals. Fish were also sampled for metals and showed low concentrations of chromium, arsenic, lead, and other metals which were slightly above the detection limit. These concentrations of metals are not a product of the WSS, but have been shown to be attributable to normal bioaccumulation. Radionuclide bioaccumulation factors were low, and conservatively overestimated doses would still result in negligible exposures (MKF and JEG 1989a).

A variety of wastes are present at the WSSRAP, and have been largely identified at the chemical plant during past site investigations and history of use at the WSS. The groundwater, surface water, springs and soils from various locales on the WSSRAP exhibit various amounts of nitroaromatics, inorganic ions, and radiological contaminants. Detailed information can be

Table 1-1 List of Threatened or Endangered Birds of Prey Potentially Occuring in the Study Area

Common Name	Diet on Small Mammals	Abundance <sup>(1)</sup>	State Status <sup>(2)</sup>	Federal Status <sup>(3)</sup>
Baid Eagle	Not usually small mammals	r	E	E
Cooper's Hawk	60-80%	ca	R	-
Northern Harrier	15-25%	и	E	•
Sharp-shinned Hawk	2-6%	ca	R	
Red-shouldered Hawk	40-60%	r	WL	-
Mississippi Kite	10%	-	R	-

#### Notes:

11) Abundance (MDOC 1991a)

u = uncommon, fairly frequent reports, in small numbers

r = rare, sparingly recorded but generally every year

ca = casual, has been recorded but not every year

(2) State Status (MDOC 1991b)

E = Endangered

R = Rare indicates present in small numbers. If environment worsens, status in Missouri could

deteriorate to Endangered

WL = Watch list. Not currently rare or endangered, but has a restricted distribution or has experienced sufficient decline to indicate it may soon become Rare or Endangered.

(3) Federal Status (MDOC 1991b)

E = Endangered throughout range.

obtained in the Annual Site Environmental Report (MKF and JEG 1991c) and the Draft Remedial Investigation Report for the Weldon Spring Site (MKF and JEG 1991d). As part of the quarry residuals RI/FS investigation, soil, surface water, and groundwater will also be characterized for contaminates. Details of this program are outlined in the Weldon Spring Quarry Residual Work Plan (MKF and JEG 1991a).

#### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this sampling plan is to determine if contaminants, primarily radionuclides, may be effecting small mammal populations utilizing the Weldon Spring site and vicintity properties.

Field monitoring of resident small mammal populations can play a major role in defining the extent and scope of environmental impact, because:

- Resident organisms are continuous monitors of environmental quality.
- Accurate qualitative field assessments of natural populations directly measure adverse effects of the environmental parameters.
- Data is amenable to statistical analysis for interpretation.

#### 1.2 Scope of Work

This sampling plan defines field methods for: measuring small mammal populations, obtaining small mammal samples, sample selection, analytical requirement and methods, and quality control measures.

Sampling of small mammal populations will occur during two distinct sampling seasons: winter (February 1992) and spring (April - May 1992). Nineteen sampling sites have been selected as representative of both control and potentially contaminated areas.

Because this will be a very limited study, it will not be possible to detect differences in population densities or fluctuations, nor to accurately assess impact. However, the utilization of background areas selected for the close similarity to the possibly-impacted areas will provide a relative comparison. As noted in an U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Risk Assessment Forum, estimation of animal numbers at any one point in time is difficult. Ideally, population surveys should be based on several years of correlative background data and be conducted over an extended time frame, but in most instances this is cost-prohibitive (EPA 1991). The goal is to determine the presence and useage of the area by small mammals and their potential effect on threatened and/or endangered species and game species.

#### 1.3 Objectives


Sampling will be conducted to achieve the following objectives:


- Provide a representative sampling of the taxa of small mammals present on the WSS and the surrounding area to determine approximate population numbers and genera represented.
- Determine usage of study areas by small mammals.
- Characterize background radionuclide levels in resident small mammal populations.
- Continue monitoring of the Weldon Spring Chemical Plant.
- Determine the concentration of radiological residues in selected tissues of resident small mammals located on or near study sites.
- Determine whether tissue obtained from small mammals located within the study areas contain significantly higher levels of radionuclides in excess of background.


#### 2 SAMPLE LOCATION AND IDENTIFICATION


Sites of collection transects are outlined on Figures 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, and 2-4, and include:

- Site No. 1, perimeter of Weldon Spring Quarry (WSQ) fence.
- Site No. 2, vicinity property No. 9.
- Site No. 3, Agricultural fields.
- Site No. 4, upper southeast drainage of WSQ toward vicinity property No. 9.
- Site No. 5, Little Femme Osage Creek drainage.
- Site No. 6, Bottomland Forest of the southern arm of the Femme Osage Slough.
- Site No. 7, background locality for Sites Nos. 4 and 7.
- Site No. 8, background locality for Site No. 2.
- Site No. 9, upper southeast drainage of Weldon Spring Chemical Plant (WSCP) toward Missouri River.
- Site No. 10, lower southeast drainage of WSCP toward Missouri River.
- Site No. 11, background for Sites Nos. 12 and 13.
- Site No. 12, bottomland palustrine forest near Ash Pond.
- Site No. 13, mixed deciduous (upland) forest, near North Dump
- Site No. 14, Frog Pond and drainage









- Site No. 15, Ash Pond drainage
- Site No. 16, Lake No. 10, background for Site No. 3

All samples will be collected, identified, and recorded in the same manner.

#### 3 SAMPLE DESIGN AND METHODS

For this study, Sherman live traps are specified, for they are inexpensive, easily transported and set, and can be thoroughly cleaned following recovery from contaminated sites. Trap placement will be along a 100 m transect and the majority of captured small mammals will be returned alive to the field. For purposes of indicating that an animal has been captured, sequentially numbered humane ear tags will be used.

Each sampling period will consist of two trapping days, a two weeks, intervals followed by two more trapping days, for a total of 4 trapping days per period. Live trapping will occur 4 days during February 1992 (1420 trap nights) and 4 days during April - May 1992 (1420 trap nights) for a total of 2840 trap nights. On Day 1 of a given sampling period, the captured animals will have the appropriate data recorded, be ear tagged with sequentially numbered tags, and released. On Day 2, captured animals will again have the appropriate data recorded and released. The last trapping day of each sampling period, 10 snap traps per transect will be set for obtaining samples. The number of snap traps may be increased or decreased by the subcontractor depending upon the densities encountered the pervious trapping days. If possible, four animals (preferably two male, two female) per genera will be selected at random for During dissection, reproductive status, litter size, and any biouptake of radionuclides. abnormalities will be recorded (for each individual) in the logbook. Whole small mammals and organs will be stored at 4°C and sent to an analytical laboratory to be analyzed for total uranium, Thorium 228/230/232 and Radium 226/228. If visible dirt remains, whole specimens will be rinsed off with deionized water. The decision to composite samples will be made by the Contractor at the time of dissection when the amount of samples collected can be assessed. Samples will be placed in plastic bags and labeled. Labels will include the sample date, sample identification number, taxonomic identification, and sample location.

There are 19 sampling areas, each with a 100 m transect. Each transect will have 20 alternating sized traps. Two sizes of Sherman live traps will be used: approximately 8.0 cm x 8.0 cm x 25.5 cm and 10.2 cm x 10.2 cm x 22.9 cm. Traps will be placed at 5 m intervals along each transect within the indicated areas on Figures 2-2 through 2-5. No transect will be established within 100 m of any other transect. Bait will be peanut butter balls or other appropriate bait. Modification of this pattern will be dictated by such site-specific constrains as slope, presence of water/sludge or extremely wet conditions, and will be determined by the contractor at time of trap deployment. Once in the field, two transects may be placed at a site

if deemed possible by the contractor. Transects must be at least 100m apart to ensure that the same population is not being sampled. If the number of transects is increased then the number of trap nights will be increased to a maximum of 5,680 trap nights.

During the February sampling period, traps will be established in the field transects in late afternoon and collected within two hours. Traps will be partially filled with cotton to provide nesting material to prevent loss of animal due to hypothermia. If an animal is found dead in a trap, it will be returned to the laboratory for dissection and included in data. During the April sampling period, traps will be established in the field transects on the afternoon previous to the morning retrieval of animal from the traps, if weather permits. If cold weather occurs, February sampling protocol will be observed. Traps will not remain in the field between sampling periods.

#### 3.1 Small mammal field data

The following field data will be recorded on the small mammal survey form (Appendix A), and includes:

- Taxonomic identification of species.
- Sex.
- Age (juvenile, subadult, adult).
- Reproductive condition.
- Total body weight (g).
- Length of foot (mm).
- Length of tail (mm).
- Length of right ear (mm).
- For Day Two, notation if animal is recaptured from previous day.
- Trap number and size.

#### 3.2 Soil Sampling

Soil samples will be taken at each transect after the last sampling period. Each transect will have four distinct samples. Four soil samples will be collected along each transect from trap Numbers 1-5, 6-10, 11-15, and 16-20. The sample will be taken from a depth of 0-6 in. using a bucket auger. Environmental Safety and Health (ES&H) Standard Operating Procedure

(SOP) 4.4.5s will be followed. The equipment must be decontaminated between each sample (ES&H 4.1.3s). All information will be recorded on a Soil/Sediment Sampling Form (Appendix B).

#### 3.3 Quality Assurance Samples

Quality control samples will be obtained for every 20 samples to assess laboratory performance and analytical precision. These samples will include duplicates, matrix spike, and matrix spike duplicates.

#### 3.3.1 Duplicate Samples

Both soil and tissue samples will have one duplicate sample taken for every 20 samples. The following two-character modifier will be added at the end of the ID number.

Biological tissue BG-XXXX-XXXXXX-XXXX-DU

Soil SO-XXXX-XXXXXX-DU

#### 3.3.2 Matrix Spike/Matrix Spile Duplicate Samples

Only soil samples will be required to have matrix spike and matrix spike duplicates taken every 20 samples. The following ID number format will be used.

Matrix spike SO-XXXX-XXXXXX-MS

Matrix spike duplicate SO-XXXX-XXXXXXMD

#### **4 ANALYTICAL REQUIREMENTS**

Small mammals used for biouptake samples will be field screened for radioactive contamination prior to shipment from the Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project (WSSRAP) for further analysis. Laboratories performing analyses for the WSSRAP are required to have an approved quality assurance laboratory plan and procedures.

Data Quality Requirements (DQRs) are quantitative statements of selection, accuracy, and precision which specify the quality of data needed to support specified data uses. DQRs for this effort will be consistent with those established for routine environmental monitoring which are documented in the *Environmental Data Administration Plan* (EDAP) (MKF and JEG 1990). Specific DQR's for this sampling plan are listed in Appendix B. Percision will be 90% and a detection limits of 1.0 pCi/l.

Based on the WSSRAP's consistent exercise of quality assurance measures, in the form of both quality control sample collection and standard operating procedures, this survey will report all routine monitoring data and results.

#### 4.1 ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS

Small mammals: T

Total Uranium

Thorium 228/230/232

Radium 226/228

Soil:

Isotopic Uranium

Thorium 228/230/232

Radium 226/228 Nitroaromatics

Arsenic Mercury Lead Cadmium Barium Selenium Silver Zinc

## 4.2 Collected Specimens, Laboratory Shipment, and Chain of Custody

Samples, both soils and small mammals, will be shipped by the contractor to a contract laboratory for analysis. Sample chain of custody will comply with WSSRAP SOP ES&H 4.1.2. Shipment and packaging samples will follow Regulatory Compliance Procedures RC-11. Laboratories will conform to standard quality assurance (QA) requirements.

#### 5 DATA REPORTING

From the data obtained in the field, species present and approximate population numbers will be calculated. The Hill's diversity index shall be used to calculate the diversity indices.

$$NA = \sum_{i=1}^{S} (pi)^{1/(1-A)}$$

Information gathered from analysis of small mammal populations will be compared with chemical and radionuclide sampling reports from WSSRAP and from other locales nearby. Data collected from study areas and from background samples will be interpreted and tested under various statistical methods. These methods will determine whether small mammals located on or near the Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project (WSSRAP) are being effected by chemical and/or radiological contaminants. One of two statistical tests will be used to make the determination: the Student's t-test, or the Mann-Whitney U-test. The basis of determination of which statistical test to use is whether the distribution of small mammal populations can be assumed to be normal, and whether the variances of all sample populations are equal. Preliminary testing of normality and variance will be made by W-test and F-test. W-test data reported as not detectible (ND), or below detection limits (DL), shall be quantified as DL/2 per EPA guidance (EPA 1991).

All data will be presented in a report prepared following the *Format Guide for Formal Reports*, Rev. 2. (MKF and JEG 1991e).

#### **6 DATA ADMINISTRATION**

Data will be reviewed and quality control samples compared following standard operating procedures (ES&H 4.9.1a, RC-31a) and the *Environmental Data Administration Plan* (MKF and JEG 1990).

Quality Assurance procedures will follow the guidelines established in the Environmental Quality Assurance Program Plan (MKF and JEG 1991f).

#### 7 REFERENCES

- Douglas, R.J. 1989. Assessment of the use of selected rodents in ecological monitoring. Environmental Management 13(3): 355-363.
- Ear tags. National Band and Tag, 721 York Street, Box 430, Newport, Kentucky 41072. Telephone (606) 261-2035.
- Federal Register/Vol. 51, No. 131/Wednesday, July 9, 1986/Notes
- Johnson, T. R., 1980. Snakes of Missouri. Missouri Department of Conservation, Jefferson City, Mo.
- Missouri Department of Conservation, 1991a. A Checklist of Birds of the August A. Busch Memorial Wildlife Area, St. Charles, Missouri. January.
- Missouri Department of Conservation, 1991b. Rare and Endangered Species of Missouri Checklist.
- MK-Ferguson Company and Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. 1989a. Radiological and Chemical Uptake by Edible Portions of Selected Biota at the Weldon Spring Site, Revision 0. DOE/OR/21548-044. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. St. Charles, Missouri. August.
- MK-Ferguson Company and Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. 1991d. Remedial Investigation Report, Vol 1 and II. Revision E (Draft). DOE/OR/21548-074. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. St. Charles, Missouri. October.
- MK-Ferguson Company and Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. 1990. Environmental Data Administration Plan. Revision 0. DOE/OR/21548-119. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. St. Charles, Missouri. May.

- MK-Ferguson Company and Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. 1991a. Weldon Spring Quarry Residuals Work Plan (Draft). Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. St. Charles, Missouri. In press.
- MK-Ferguson Company and Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. 1991b. Quarry Haul Road Ecological Assessment Threatened and Endangered Species Survey, Revision A. DOE/OR/21548-228. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. St. Charles, Missouri. November.
- MK-Ferguson Company and Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. 1991c. Annual Site Environmental Report for Calendar Year 1990. Rev. 1. DOE/OR/21548-193. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. St. Charles, Missouri. August.
- MK-Ferguson Company and Jacobs Engineering Group, 1991e. Format Guide for Formal Reports, Rev. 2. DOE/OR/21548-178. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. St. Charles, MO. February.
- MK-Ferguson Company and Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. 1991f. Environmental Quality Assurance Program Plan. Rev. 0. DOE/OR/21548-238. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. St. Charles, Missouri. In press.
- Toland, B., 1985. Missouri's Eagles, Hawks, Falcons, and Vultures. Missouri Department of Conservation. Jefferson City, Mo.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1989. Ecological Assessment of Hazardous Waste Sites:

  A Field and Laboratory Reference. EPA/600/3-89-013.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991. Summary Report on Issues in Ecological Risk Assessment: Proceedings of a Colloquium Series, March July 1990. Prepared by Eastern Research Group, Inc., 6 Whittemore Street, Arlington, Massachusetts 02174. February.
- Wilson, J. D., 1984. Owls. Missouri Department of Conservation, Jefferson City, Mo.

#### **WSSRAP Procedures**

ES&H 1.1.4s - Logbook Procedures

ES&H 4.1.1 - Numbering System for Environmental Samples and Sampling Locations

ES&H 4.1.3s - Sampling Equipment Decontamination

ES&H 4.1.2s - Chain of Custody

ES&H 4.4.5s - Soil/Sediment Sampling

ES&H 4.9.1a - Environmental Monitoring Data Verification

RC-11 - Transportation and Tracking of Material

# APPENDIX A SMALL MAMMAL SURVEY FIELD SHEET

# SMALL MAMMAL SURVEY FIELD SHEET

DATE: LOCATION: #1 #2 #3 #4 #5 #6 #7 #8 #9 #10 #11 #12 #13 #14 #15 #16 #17 #18 #19 COLLECTOR(S): COMMENTS:

		 	_		_	<u> </u>	 	-	 	 	
	COMMENTS										
	EAR										
(mm)	FOOT										
LENGTH (mm)	TAIL										
	TOTAL										
MASS REPROD	P/L/V/ST										
MAS	(9)										
	AGE A/SA/J										
	SEX M/F										
	RECAP Y/N										
	ID NO.										
	SPECIES										
	TRAP NO.										

BLBR = Blarina brevicauda	TAST = Temies striatus	GEBU = Geomys bursarius
CRPA = Cryptotis parva	PELE = Peromyscus leucopus	
SCAO = Scalopus aquaticus	PEMA = Peromyscus maniculatus	
REME = Reithrodontomys megalotus	MIOC = Microtus ochrogaster	٠
MUMU = Mus musculus	RANE = Rattus norvegicus	

A-1

ᄩ

Initials:

Data Entry: Date:

# APPENDIX B SOIL-SEDIMENT SAMPLING FORM

# WELDON SPRING SITE REMEDIAL ACTION PROJECT

7295 Highway 94 South St. Charles, Misosuri 63304 (314) 441-8086

### SOLI/SEDIMENT SAMPLING FORM

SAMPLE NUMBER:		DATE:	
LOCATION:			
PERSONNEL:			
SAMPLE COLLECTION METHOD:		·	
SAMPLE TYPE: DEPTH COMPOSITE	GRAB	OTHER	
SAMPLING EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATIO	N METHO	DDS:	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION:			
	-		- A 2012 - AZZ 92-14 - 1
Signature		Date:	

# APPENDIX C SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER FORMAT

A. Sample type = 2 characters

BG = Biological

SO = Soil

B. Sample location = 4 characters

- 01 = Site No. 1
- 02 = Site No. 2
- $03^-$  = Site No. 3
- $04^-$  = Site No. 4
- 05 = Site No. 5
- 06 = Site No. 6
- $07^-$  = Site No. 7
- 08 =Site No. 8
- $09^{-}$  = Site No. 9
- $10^{-}$  = Site No. 10
- 11 =Site No. 11
- 12 = Site No. 12
- $13_{-}$  = Site No. 13
- $14_{-}$  = Site No. 14
- 15 = Site No. 15
- 16 = Site No. 16

(Biological)

- BB = Blarina brevicauda
- CP = Cryptotis parva
- RM = Reithrodontomys megaiotus
- SA = Scalopus quaticus
- TS = Tamias striatus
- PL = Peromyscus lleucopus
- PM = Peromyscus maniculatus
- GB = Geomys bursarius
- MO = Microtus ochrogaster
- \_\_RN = Rattus norvegicus
- MM = Mus musculus

(Soil)

- $_1$  = Transect 1
- 2 = Transect 2
- A = Samples from area between traps 01-05
- \_\_\_B = Samples from area between traps 06-10
- C = Samples from area between traps 11-15
- D = Samples from area between traps 16-20

C. Data Code = 6 characters

$$XX$$
 = Month (01-12)  
 $XX$  = Day (01-31)  
 $XX$  = Year

D. Individual identification (ear tag number-biological) = 4 characters (0001 thru 1000)

0001 = Individual number 1 0010 = Individual number 10 0100 = Individual number 100

E. Quality Assurance Samples = 2 character modifiers

Duplicate= XX-XXXX-XXXXXX-DUMatrix Spike= XX-XXXX-XXXXXX-MSMatrix Spike Duplicate= XX-XXXX-XXXXXX-MD